

| | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/634,254 | | LEHMAN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | Daniel P. Stephenson | | 3672 | |

All Participants:

(1) Daniel P. Stephenson.

(2) Warren Kice.

Date of Interview: 21 February 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner inquired as to the status of the application since no reply had been received to the last office action which had been mailed over 6 months prior. The attorney for the applicant stated that the case was going to be abandoned.

Part III.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Abandoned

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:10 am

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)